How to impose initial conditions for QCD evolution of double parton distributions?

Krzysztof Golec-Biernat*
Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, 31-342 Cracow, Poland and
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Rzeszów, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland

Emilia Lewandowska†
Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, 31-342 Cracow, Poland

Double parton distribution functions are used in the QCD description of double parton scattering. The double parton distributions evolve with hard scales through QCD evolution equations which obey nontrivial momentum and valence quark number sum rules. We describe an attempt to construct initial conditions for the evolution equations which exactly fulfill these sum rules and discuss its shortcomings. We also discuss the factorization of the double parton distributions into a product of two single parton distribution functions at small values of the parton momentum fractions.

arXiv:1402.4079v3 [hep-ph] 16 Jul 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

In high-energy hadron scattering, the final state particles could be produced from two hard interactions in one collision. This process, called the double parton scattering (DPS), is viewed as two hard interactions of two pairs of partons from the scattering hadrons. The DPS is the simplest process in the analysis of multiparton interactions, studied for many years from both the theoretical [1–20] and phenomenological sides [21–32]. The experimental evidence of the DPS has been presented in Refs. [33–39].

The DPS processes allow one to gain information on parton correlations by measuring the DPS cross section in high energy scattering of two hadrons, h_1 and h_2. In the collinear approximation, the inclusive DPS cross section is given in the form [13, 16]:

$$\sigma_{AB}^{h_1h_2} = \frac{N}{2}\sum_{f_1f_2f_1'f_2'}\int dx_1dx_2\,dx_1'dx_2'\,\frac{d^2\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^2} \times D_{f_1f_2}^{h_1}(x_1,x_2,Q_1,Q_2,\mathbf{q})\,\hat{\sigma}_{f_1f_1'}^{A}(x_1,x_1',Q_1) \times \hat{\sigma}_{f_2f_2'}^{B}(x_2,x_2',Q_2)\,D_{f_1'f_2'}^{h_2}(x_1',x_2',Q_1,Q_2,-\mathbf{q}), \quad (1)$$

where A and B denote the two hard parton processes and N is a symmetry factor, equal to 1 for $A = B$ and 2 otherwise.

In the above, $D_{f_1f_2}^{h_{1,2}}(x_1,x_2,Q_1,Q_{,2},\mathbf{q})$ are double parton distribution functions (DPDFs) of hadrons $h_{1,2}$, which depend on the two parton flavors $f_{1,2}$, parton momentum fractions $x_{1,2}$, two hard scales $Q_{1,2}$ involved in the DPS and an additional transverse momentum $\mathbf{q}$. The presence of the latter momentum is related to the loop structure of the exchanged four partons in the forward scattering amplitude which ultimately enters into the definition of the DPDFs [16]. The importance of this variable for the DPS cross section computations has been discussed at length in Ref. [13]. The longitudinal momentum fractions obey the condition

$$0 < x_1 + x_2 \leq 1\,, \quad (2)$$

which says that the sum of parton longitudinal momenta cannot exceed the total proton momentum (taken for definiteness from now on). This is the basic parton correlation which has to be taken into account. For more advanced aspects of parton correlations, see Ref. [17].

The analysis of the DPS is crucial for a better understanding of background for many important processes measured at the experiments at Tevatron and the LHC, e.g. for the Higgs boson production [40], as well as for a better description of multiparton interactions, needed for example for modeling of the underlying event; see Ref. [30] for a comprehensive review of these issues. Thus, it is very important to use a rigorous approach based on QCD evolution equations for the DPDFs. These equations are known in the leading logarithmic approximation [1–3, 6, 7]. They conserve new sum rules [8] which relate the double and single parton distribution functions at any evolution scale. In this presentation we address a problem of specifying initial conditions for the evolution equations of the DPDFs which exactly obey the new sum rules.

The paper is organized as follows. In Secs. II and III we briefly describe evolution equations for parton distributions. In Sec. IV the new sum rules are presented, while in Sec. V the most popular initial conditions are described. In Sec. VI we discuss a problem with them and describe an attempt to solve it. In Sec. VII factorization of the DPDFs into a product of two single parton distribution functions (SPDFs) is discussed.

*Electronic address: golec@ifj.edu.pl
†Electronic address: emilia.lewandowska@ifj.edu.pl

II. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS FOR SPDFS

To set the notation, let us recapitulate the QCD evolution equations in the collinear approximation for SPDFs, $D_f(x,Q)$, which are used in the description of the single parton scattering. The general form of these equations is given by

$$\partial_t D_f(x,t) = \sum_{f'} \int_0^1 du\, \mathcal{K}_{ff'}(x,u,t)\, D_{f'}(u,t)\,, \qquad (3)$$

where the evolution parameter $t = \ln(Q^2/Q_0^2)$ and the parton momentum fraction x obey the condition $0 < x \leq 1$. The integral kernels, $\mathcal{K}_{ff'}(x,u,t)$, describe the real and virtual parton emissions

$$\mathcal{K}_{ff'}(x,u,t) = \mathcal{K}^R_{ff'}(x,u,t) - \delta(u-x)\,\delta_{ff'}\,\mathcal{K}^V_f(x,t)\,. \quad (4)$$

The real emission kernel $\mathcal{K}^R_{ff'}(x,u,t)$ corresponds to the parton transition $(f',u) \to (f,x)$, where the momentum fraction $u > x$, and is given by

$$\mathcal{K}^R_{ff'}(x,u,t) = \frac{1}{u} P_{ff'}(\frac{x}{u},t)\,\theta(u-x)\,. \qquad (5)$$

The virtual part, $\mathcal{K}^V_f(x,t)$, can be computed from the imposed momentum sum rule

$$\sum_f \int_0^1 dx\, x D_f(x,t) = 1 \qquad (6)$$

where the normalization to unity means that partons carry the whole nucleon momentum. Thus we find

$$x\,\mathcal{K}^V_f(x,t) = \sum_{f'} \int_0^1 du\, u\, \mathcal{K}^R_{f'f}(u,x,t)\,. \qquad (7)$$

The functions $P_{ff'}$ in Eq. (5) are splitting functions computed perturbatively in QCD in powers of the strong coupling constant:

$$P_{ff'}(z,t) = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} P^{(0)}_{ff'}(z) + \frac{\alpha_s^2(t)}{(2\pi)^2} P^{(1)}_{ff'}(z) + \dots\,. \qquad (8)$$

The first term on the rhs corresponds to the leading logarithmic approximation while the higher terms are computed in the next-to-leading approximations. In this way, the well known DGLAP evolution equations for SPDFs are obtained

$$\partial_t\, D_f(x,t) = \sum_{f'} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z}\, P_{ff'}(z,t) D_{f'}\left(\frac{x}{z},t\right) - D_f(x,t) \sum_{f'} \int_0^1 dz z\, P_{f'f}(z,t)\,. \qquad (9)$$

Note that the diagonal in flavors splitting functions, $P_{ff}(z,t)$, have a simple pole singularity at $z=1$ which is removed by the virtual term [so called (+) prescription].

III. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS FOR DPDFS

The evolution equations for the DPDFs are only known for $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ in the leading logarithmic approximation [1–3, 6–8]. The first discussion of the next-to-leading corrections can be found in [10]. We start from considering two equal hard scales, $Q_1 = Q_2 \equiv Q$, and introduce the following notation for the DPDFs in such a case

$$D_{f_1 f_2}(x_1,x_2,t) = D_{f_1 f_2}(x_1,x_2,Q,Q,\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{0})\,. \qquad (10)$$

A phenomenological discussion of the case $\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{0}$ in the context of evolution equations, discussed below, can be found in [13, 41].

The QCD evolution equations take general form

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\, & D_{f_1 f_2}(x_1,x_2,t) \\ &= \sum_{f'} \int_0^{1-x_2} du\, \mathcal{K}_{f_1 f'}(x_1,u,t)\, D_{f' f_2}(u,x_2,t) \\ &+ \sum_{f'} \int_0^{1-x_1} du\, \mathcal{K}_{f_2 f'}(x_2,u,t)\, D_{f_1 f'}(x_1,u,t) \\ &+ \sum_{f'} \mathcal{K}^R_{f' \to f_1 f_2}(x_1,x_2,t)\, D_{f'}(x_1+x_2,t), \end{aligned} \qquad (11)$$

where the integral kernels are given by Eq.(4) with the real part (5) in the leading logarithmic approximation and the virtual part found from Eq. (7). The two integrals in the above describe the DGLAP evolution of a single parton with the second parton treated as a spectator. This gives the upper integration limits resulting from condition (2).

The third term needs special attention. It describes the real emission splitting of a single parton into two partons which undergo two independent hard scatterings. This is why the SPDFs appear here and the evolution equations (3) and (11) form a coupled set of equations which has to be solved simultaneously. In the leading logarithmic approximation, there is only one parton flavor, f', which leads to two parton flavors, f_1 and f_2. Thus, we have the following splittings: $q \to qg$, $\overline{q} \to \overline{q}g$, $g \to q\overline{q}$, and $g \to gg$. In such a case

$$\mathcal{K}^R_{f' \to f_1 f_2}(x_1,x_2,t) = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi}\, \frac{1}{x_1+x_2}\, P^{(0)}_{f' f_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{x_1+x_2}\right) \qquad (12)$$

where $P^{(0)}_{f' f_1}$ are splitting functions in the leading logarithmic approximation. It can easily be checked that the splitting functions $P^{(0)}_{f' f_2}(x_2/(x_1+x_2))$ can also be used in this case. Thus the rhs. of the evolution equations (11) is invariant with respect to the parton interchange, $(f_1,x_1) \leftrightarrow (f_2,x_2)$. If the initial conditions for them, specified at some initial scale t_0, are parton exchange symmetric,

$$D_{f_1 f_2}(x_1,x_2,t_0) = D_{f_2 f_1}(x_2,x_1,t_0)\,, \qquad (13)$$



FIG. 1: Sum rules violation by the symmetric input (19). The ratio should be equal to 1 if the sum rules are satisfied.

the evolution will preserve this symmetry for any value of t.

In the case when the two hard scales are significantly different, e.g. $Q_1 \ll Q_2$, the large logarithms $\ln(Q_2^2/Q_1^2)$ appear. They have to be resummed which leads to the DGLAP evolution equation with respect to the second parton

$$\partial_{t_2} D_{f_1 f_2}(x_1, x_2, t_1, t_2) = \sum_{f'} \int_0^{1-x_1} du\, \mathcal{K}_{f_2 f'}(x_2, u, t_2)\, D_{f_1 f'}(x_1, u, t_1, t_2), \quad (14)$$

where $t_{1,2} = \ln(Q_{1,2}^2/Q_0^2)$. Thus the evolution has two steps, from equal initial scales (t_0, t_0) to the equal final scales (t_1, t_1), according to Eq. (11), and then to the scales (t_1, t_2), according to Eq. (14). However, we do not discuss such a case in our analysis, concentrating only on the first step of the evolution. We also refrain from discussing the impact parameter representation of the DPDFs and corresponding evolution equations, sending the reader to Ref. [16].

IV. SUM RULES FOR DPDFS

The DGLAP evolution equations (3) obey the momentum sum rule (6), while the evolution equations (11) preserve a new momentum sum rule:

$$\sum_{f_1} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 x_1 \frac{D_{f_1 f_2}(x_1, x_2, t)}{D_{f_2}(x_2, t)} = 1 - x_2\,. \quad (15)$$

This relation can be understood by treating the ratio of the parton distributions under the integral as the conditional probability to find parton f_1 with the momentum fraction x_1, while the second parton characteristics, x_2 and f_2, are fixed. In such a the total momentum fraction carried by partons f_1 equals $(1 - x_2)$. In this way, the momentum sum rule (15) relates the double and single parton distribution functions for any value of t:

$$\sum_{f_1} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 x_1 D_{f_1 f_2}(x_1, x_2, t) = (1 - x_2) D_{f_2}(x_2, t). \quad (16)$$

The valence quark number sum rule for the SPDFs has the well-known form

$$\int_0^1 dx\, \{D_{q_i}(x, t) - D_{\bar{q}_i}(x, t)\} = N_i\,, \quad (17)$$

where N_i is the number of valence quarks q_i. For the DPDFs, the analogous sum rule depends on the flavor of the second parton f_2 (see Refs. [8, 42] for more details):

$$\int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \{D_{q_i f_2}(x_1, x_2, t) - D_{\bar{q}_i f_2}(x_1, x_2, t)\} = \begin{cases} N_i\, D_{f_2}(x_2, t) & \text{for } f_2 \neq q_i, \bar{q}_i \\ (N_i - 1)\, D_{f_2}(x_2, t) & \text{for } f_2 = q_i \\ (N_i + 1)\, D_{f_2}(x_2, t) & \text{for } f_2 = \bar{q}_i\,. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

It is important to emphasize that the momentum and valence quark number sum rules are conserved by the evolution equations (3) and (11) once they are imposed at an initial value t_0. If not true, the sum rules will not be exactly satisfied during evolution.

The sum rules (16) and (18) are written with respect to the first parton. Assuming the parton exchange symmetry (13) to be valid for any value of t, the sum rules could also be written with respect to the second parton. In this case, the integration is performed over x_2 up to $(1-x_1)$ with the first parton flavor f_1 and the momentum fraction x_1 fixed.

V. SYMMETRIC INITIAL CONDITIONS

To solve Eqs. (3) and (11) we need to specify initial conditions for both the SPDFs and DPDFs. The initial SPDFs can be taken from well-established parameterizations, e.g. from the leading-order (LO) MSTW



FIG. 2: The input distributions D_{uu} and $D_{u\bar{u}}$ (multiplied by x_1x_2) from Eqs. (19) (dashed lines - sym) and (21) (solid lines - our) for fixed $x_2 = 10^{-3}$.

parametrization [43], which we use in the forthcoming analysis. However, the specification of the initial DPDFs needs assumptions since the experimental knowledge on the DPDFs is very limited. For practical reasons, their form is built out of the existing SPDFs. For example, in Refs. [7, 8] the *symmetric* initial conditions with respect to the parton interchange (13) were proposed,

$$D_{f_1f_2}(x_1,x_2,t_0) = D_{f_1}(x_1,t_0)\, D_{f_2}(x_2,t_0) \times \frac{(1-x_1-x_2)^2}{(1-x_1)^{2+n_1}(1-x_2)^{2+n_2}}, \qquad (19)$$

where in the correlation factor, $n_{1,2} = 0$ for sea quarks and $n_{1,2} = 0.5$ for valence quarks. These distributions are also positive definite provided that the SPDFs are positive.

In Fig.1 we show how ansatz (19) fulfills the momentum and valence quark number sum rules by plotting the ratio of the rhs to lhs for Eqs. (16) and (18), respectively. The sum rules are fulfilled if the ratios equal one. In the valence number sum rule $q_i = u$ and $f_2 = g, u, \bar{u}$ (for simplicity, we also set $n_1 = n_2 = 0$). We see that the momentum sum rule is quite well satisfied while the valence quark number sum rule is significantly violated. The limiting values, 3/2 for $f_2 = \bar{u}$ and 1/2 for $f_2 = u$, correspond to the values $(N_u \pm 1)/N_u$ for $N_u = 2$, which are obtained from Eq. (19) computed for $x_2 \ll 1$. The case with $n_{1,2} = 0.5$ for valence quarks leads to similar results.

VI. ATTEMPT TO SATISFY SUM RULES

Is it possible to construct initial distributions which *exactly* fulfill the discussed sum rules? The answer is in the affirmative if we concentrate on the first parton, treating the second one as a spectator (or vice versa).

To obey the momentum sum rule (16), it is enough to postulate the following form (we skip t_0 in the notation):

$$D_{f_1f_2}(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{1-x_2} D_{f_1}\!\left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_2}\right) D_{f_2}(x_2)\,. \qquad (20)$$

However, the valence number sum rule (18) needs corrections for identical quark flavors or antiflavors which do not spoil the already fulfilled momentum sum rule. The form below does the job

$$D_{f_if_i}(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{1-x_2}\left\{D_{f_1}\!\left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\right\} D_{f_1}(x_2)$$

$$D_{f_i\bar{f}_i}(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{1-x_2}\left\{D_{f_1}\!\left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\right\} D_{\bar{f}_i}(x_2) \qquad (21)$$

where $f_i, \bar{f}_i \in \{u, \bar{u}, d, \bar{d}, s, \bar{s}, \ldots\}$ are quark flavor or antiflavors. Unfortunately, there is a price to pay. The DPDFs for identical flavors or anti-lavors are not positive definite. For $x_2 \ll 1$

$$D_{f_if_i}(x_1,x_2) \approx \{D_{f_1}(x_1) - 1/2\}\, D_{f_1}(x_2) \qquad (22)$$

and for all the existing parametrizations $D_{f_1}(x_1) < 1/2$ for x_1 bigger than some x_0. Thus, $D_{f_if_i}(x_1,x_2)$ is negative in this range.

This is shown in Fig. 2 where the initial distributions $D_{uu}(x_1,x_2)$ and $D_{u\bar{u}}(x_1,x_2)$ (multiplied by x_1x_2) are plotted for fixed $x_2 = 10^{-3}$. They are constructed from the LO MSTW parametrization of SPDFs at $Q_0^2 = 2$ GeV^2, using ansatze (19) (sym) and (21) (our). We see that D_{uu} from ansatz (21) is negative for $x_1 > 0.6$. Using a numerical program which we constructed to solve Eqs. (3) and (11), we show in Fig. 3 that this effect does not change when the distributions are evolved up to $Q^2 = 100$ GeV^2.

The proposed form of initial conditions is not symmetric with respect to parton interchange described by Eq. (13). A simple symmetrization,

$$D_{f_1f_2}(x_1,x_2) \to D_{f_1f_2}(x_1,x_2) + D_{f_2f_1}(x_2,x_1)\,, \qquad (23)$$



FIG. 3: The distributions from Fig. 2 evolved up to $Q^2 = 100$ GeV^2.



FIG. 4: The evolved distributions D_{uu} and $D_{u\bar{u}}$ (sym) from the symmetric input (19) compared to the corresponding products of the SPDFs, D_u and $D_{\bar{u}}$ (prod). The ratio of the two distributions is also shown for each case.

does not solve the problem since the discussed sum rules are violated in such a case. For example, the integration over x_1 as in the sum rule (16) gives the correct result with the first term in Eq. (23), which is spoiled by a nonzero contribution from the second term. Unfortunately, we could not find a better symmetrization prescription which conserves the sum rules.

To summarize our attempt, it seems that in the construction with SPDFs we cannot find the initial distributions which fulfill the sum rules in both the variables x_1 and x_2. Therefore, the symmetric parametrization (19), discussed at length in ref. [8], is still the best proposition for applications.

VII. FACTORIZATION AT SMALL x

The sum rule problem for the initial distribution concerns the large x behavior of the DPDFs. If parton momentum fractions are small, $x_1, x_2 \ll 1$, both parametrizations of the initial distributions, given by Eqs. (19), (20), and (21), tend to the factorized form

$$D_{f_1 f_2}(x_1, x_2, t_0) \approx D_{f_1}(x_1, t_0) D_{f_2}(x_2, t_0) \qquad (24)$$

where $f_{1,2}$ denote quark flavors, antiflavors, or a gluon.

Does the approximate factorization hold during the evolution? The inspection of Eq. (11) reveals that in general the third, splitting term violates the factorization during the evolution. However, the scale of the violation depends on the numerical value of the splitting term in comparison to the values of the first two terms in Eq. (11).

The analysis with our numerical program shows that the violation is significant only for the splitting $g \to q\bar{q}$, due to a large value of the single gluon distribution $g(x)$ at small x. This is illustrated in Fig. 4, where D_{uu} and $D_{u\bar{u}}$ evolved to $Q^2 = 100$ GeV^2 from the symmetric input are compared to the corresponding products of D_u and $D_{\bar{u}}$ at the same scale. The effect of the violation of factorization for small values of $x_{1,2}$ is only seen for $D_{u\bar{u}}$, while for the distributions, D_{uu} and $D_{ug}, D_{\bar{u}g}, D_{gu}, D_{g\bar{u}}$ (not shown here), relation (24) holds very well. The same conclusions are valid for the other quark flavors. To avoid

a possible confusion, let us stress that factorization (24) is not expected to be true at large values of parton momentum fractions (i.e. for $x > 0.1$).

VIII. SUMMARY

The specification of initial conditions for the QCD evolution equations of the DPDFs (written in the leading logarithmic approximation) is not a simple task, mainly because of the new sum rules which they should obey.

In the presented attempt we tried to build the initial DPDFs out of the existing SPDFs treating one of the two partons as a spectator. The form which we found obeys the momentum and valence quark number sum rule with respect to the longitudinal momentum fraction of the active parton. The difficulty with symmetrization of the proposed form is the reason why the sum rules are not symmetric with respect to the interchange of partons. Therefore, the approach [7, 8] in which parameters the symmetric form of initial DPDFs are optimized to approximately fulfill the sum rules is still the best one can achieve.

We also discussed the factorization of the DPDFs into a product of two SPDFs at small values of parton momentum fractions $x_{1,2}$. We showed that such a factorization is to a good approximation conserved by the QCD evolution except for the distribution $D_{q\bar{q}}$ for which the splitting contribution $g \to q\bar{q}$ in the evolution equations (11) is quite important due to a large gluon distribution $g(x)$ at small x. For large values of $x_{1,2}$, the factorization is not expected.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Polish NCN Grants No. DEC-2011/01/B/ST2/03915 and No. DEC-2012/05/N/ST2/02678 as well as by the Center for Innovation and Transfer of Natural Sciences and Engineering Knowledge in Rzeszów.

IX. REFERENCES

[1] R. Kirschner, Phys.Lett. **B84**, 266 (1979).
[2] V. Shelest, A. Snigirev and G. Zinovev, Phys.Lett. **B113**, 325 (1982).
[3] G. Zinovev, A. Snigirev and V. Shelest, Theor.Math.Phys. **51**, 523 (1982).
[4] R. K. Ellis, W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, Nucl.Phys. **B212**, 29 (1983).
[5] A. Bukhvostov, G. Frolov, L. Lipatov and E. Kuraev, Nucl.Phys. **B258**, 601 (1985).
[6] A. M. Snigirev, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 114012 (2003), [hep-ph/0304172].
[7] V. L. Korotkikh and A. M. Snigirev, Phys. Lett. **B594**, 171 (2004), [hep-ph/0404155].
[8] J. R. Gaunt and W. J. Stirling, JHEP **03**, 005 (2010), [0910.4347].
[9] B. Blok, Y. Dokshitzer, L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman, Phys.Rev. **D83**, 071501 (2011), [1009.2714].
[10] F. A. Ceccopieri, Phys. Lett. **B697**, 482 (2011), [1011.6586].
[11] M. Diehl and A. Schafer, Phys. Lett. **B698**, 389 (2011), [1102.3081].
[12] J. R. Gaunt and W. J. Stirling, JHEP **1106**, 048 (2011), [1103.1888].
[13] M. Ryskin and A. Snigirev, Phys.Rev. **D83**, 114047 (2011), [1103.3495].
[14] J. Bartels and M. G. Ryskin, 1105.1638.
[15] B. Blok, Y. Dokshitser, L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman, Eur.Phys.J. **C72**, 1963 (2012), [1106.5533].
[16] M. Diehl, D. Ostermeier and A. Schafer, JHEP **1203**, 089 (2012), [1111.0910].
[17] A. V. Manohar and W. J. Waalewijn, Phys.Rev. **D85**, 114009 (2012), [1202.3794].
[18] M. Ryskin and A. Snigirev, 1203.2330.
[19] J. R. Gaunt, JHEP **1301**, 042 (2013), [1207.0480].
[20] A. Snigirev, N. Snigireva and G. Zinovjev, 1403.6947.
[21] A. Del Fabbro and D. Treleani, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 077502 (2000), [hep-ph/9911358].
[22] A. Kulesza and W. J. Stirling, Phys.Lett. **B475**, 168 (2000), [hep-ph/9912232].
[23] A. Del Fabbro and D. Treleani, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 074012 (2002), [hep-ph/0207311].
[24] E. Cattaruzza, A. Del Fabbro and D. Treleani, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 034022 (2005), [hep-ph/0507052].
[25] E. L. Berger, C. Jackson and G. Shaughnessy, Phys.Rev. **D81**, 014014 (2010), [0911.5348].
[26] J. R. Gaunt, C.-H. Kom, A. Kulesza and W. J. Stirling, Eur. Phys. J. **C69**, 53 (2010), [1003.3953].
[27] C. Kom, A. Kulesza and W. Stirling, Phys.Rev.Lett. **107**, 082002 (2011), [1105.4186].
[28] E. L. Berger, C. Jackson, S. Quackenbush and G. Shaughnessy, Phys.Rev. **D84**, 074021 (2011), [1107.3150].
[29] C. Kom, A. Kulesza and W. Stirling, Eur.Phys.J. **C71**, 1802 (2011), [1109.0309].
[30] P. Bartalini *et al.*, 1111.0469.
[31] D. d'Enterria and A. M. Snigirev, Phys.Lett. **B718**, 1395 (2013), [1211.0197].
[32] D. d'Enterria and A. M. Snigirev, Phys.Lett. **B727**, 157 (2013), [1301.5845].
[33] Axial Field Spectrometer Collaboration, T. Akesson *et al.*, Z.Phys. **C34**, 163 (1987).
[34] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **79**, 584 (1997).
[35] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys.Rev. **D56**, 3811 (1997).
[36] D0 Collaboration, V. Abazov *et al.*, Phys.Rev. **D81**, 052012 (2010), [0912.5104].
[37] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, New J.Phys. **15**, 033038 (2013), [1301.6872].

[38] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, JHEP **1403**, 032 (2014), [1312.5729].
[39] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, JHEP **1404**, 172 (2014), [1401.2831].
[40] M. W. Krasny and W. Placzek, Acta Phys.Polon. **B45**, 71 (2014), [1305.1769].
[41] K. Golec-Biernat and E. Lewandowska, 1407.4038.
[42] J. R. Gaunt, *Double parton scattering in proton-proton collisions*, PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 2012, `https://www.repository.cam.ac.uk/handle/1810/243945`.
[43] A. Martin, W. Stirling, R. Thorne and G. Watt, Eur.Phys.J. **C63**, 189 (2009), [0901.0002].